

### **Fragmented Distribution Attack**

Virus Bulletin Annual Conference 2009, Geneva

Author: Anoirel S. Issa

MessageLabs, now part of Symantec

Anoirel\_issa@symantec.com

#### Fragmented Distribution Attack: Abstract



Through the years there has been a constant evolution of anti-virus evasion techniques. One of the latest trend that has been widely witnessed is the process code injection.

However, a technique which has not been previously disclosed and may lead to some irreversible consequences is the "Fragmented Distribution Attack".

The scenario: An email with an attached image arrives in your mailbox from a recognized sender, you double click and open it. As expected, the image is displayed and nothing else happens. The system administrator may not have noticed anything suspicious from his system monitor logs and everything looks fine as the anti-virus product, along with the firewall, remain silent.

Under this silence, the computer is possibly being compromised by a Fragmented Distribution Attack.

#### Agenda



- An attempt to define the Fragmented Distribution Attack (FDA)
- Exposing the attack: case study
  - The mystery of the bodiless header
- P.O.C embedded code fragments and re-assembler
- Live variant of a fragmented distribution attack
- Consequences and possible implications
- Detecting FDA
- Conclusion

#### Terminology: Fragmented Distribution Attack



- A new AV evasion technique
- Aim to bypass Firewalls, IDS and Anti-virus
- Exploiting different file formats for distribution
- Code fragments embedded in innocent files
- Fragment re-assembler used to rebuild original threat
- Fragments locator within the re-assembler

#### Exposing the Attack



- File format exploitation and abuse
- Data fragments embedded in normal file
- Embedding code in innocent files a new method?
- Not a new technique: seen on exploits
- So what differentiates FDA to embedding technique?

#### A Schematic View of an FDA: Fragment Distribution





- 1 malware split in 3 fragments
- Segments embedded in innocent files
- Fragment carriers sent over the protected network

#### The Fragment Re-assembler





- A separate program
- Not necessarily malware
- Locates fragment carriers
- Pre-assemble fragment in memory
- May write code to disk
- Executes re-assembled code in MEM or on Disk
- System compromised

#### Case 1: Uncovered Live Fragment





- Embedded PE Header
- No other PE characteristics
- No encryption
- Clearly isolated fragment
- Remaining part is elsewhere
- Possibly an FDA

## Detection of the previous live fragment



Discovered in 2008

MessageLabs<sup>®</sup>

Now part of Symantec

- 7 AV detects the header today
- Confusion:
- Dropper?
- Downloader?
- No description
- Why? an FDA?

## What if the sample was an FDA?



- Conclusion about previous sample:
- Isolated fragment no shellcode no encryption
- What if our conclusion was 100% accurate?
- How would that work?
- How a single fragment of a PE file would be used and executed to compromise systems?
- FDA is the answer.
- How would an FDA work then?
- Research results and FDA POC follows

#### FDA Proof of concept: The Goat and the Smiling Image





To validate our deduction of FDA in the previous case we develop an FDA POC using the image in the left and a goat file

11

#### Fragment 1: PE header





- Goat file fragment embedded in the image
- Image displays
- No visible alteration
- Fragment detected by 1 AV

#### Fragment 2 & 3: Code Section & Sec Table





- Fragment marker "DEAD"
- Fragment order
  3 2
- Image displays
- No detection

#### Extending the POC: Infectious Fragments: W32.Virut





- Not modified Virut sample
- "Unanimously" detected

#### Extending the POC: Infectious Fragments: W32.Virut





- 4 fragments
- embedded in same image
- Not detected:
  - 4 fragments
- PE Header fragment detected
  - 1 AV spotted the MZ/PE

#### A Serious Attack: Live sample



- September 09 FDA variant seen live
  - Targeting financial institutions
  - Use old shell code technique to run reassembler code
- Use of fragment marker
- Hacked PE header values
- Fragments of entire files
- Attack involves: Rootkit information stealer
- Use Http to send / receive data

#### FDA: The Consequences



- If successfully achieved, an FDA attack can result to some serious consequences
- Depends on the victim's level of protection
- Consequence not easily predictable but can lead to:
  - Data, intellectual property leakage
  - Government, military, industrial espionage
  - Irreversible financial losses

#### Detecting Fragmented Distribution Attacks



- Detection would be tricky but possible
- Depend on your scan engine capabilities

#### Conclusion



- Hope you enjoyed this presentation which aimed to:
  - bring this type of threat to light
  - not demonstrating malware distribution technique for hackers



# E.O.F. Questions? Thank you.

anoirel\_issa@symantec.com Also on LinkedIn